



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

AMERICAN STATISTICAL ASSOCIATION.

NEW SERIES, No. 94.

JUNE, 1911.

VITAL AND MONETARY LOSSES IN THE UNITED STATES DUE TO TYPHOID FEVER.*

BY WILLIAM O. MENDENHALL, *Professor of Mathematics, Earlham College,*
AND
EARL W. CASTLE, *Instructor in Mathematics, University of Michigan.*

I.

The study outlined in this paper was made under the direction of James W. Glover, Professor of Mathematics and Insurance in the University of Michigan. Its object is twofold: first, to determine the vital and financial loss to a community due to typhoid fever; and second, to give an example of the construction of mortality tables by Sprague's Osculatory Method. This method of construction is treated in a paper by King.† By the method here described it is possible to represent the given data with remarkable accuracy, and to obtain at the same time a smooth graduation. More of detail will be given in the second part of the discussion, which deals with the construction of the mortality table, than in the first part, which concerns the determination of loss due to typhoid fever, since the method of finding the effect of a disease upon a community has been completely illustrated in a paper by Glover.‡

The statistics upon which the mortality tables are based are the enumerated population, aggregate male and female, June 1, 1900, obtained from the reports of the Twelfth Census of the United States, and the Mortality Statistics of the registration states for the calendar year 1900. These registration

* Based on the returns from registration states of the Twelfth Census of the United States.

† George King, "On the Construction of Mortality Tables from Census Returns and Records of Deaths," *Journal of the Institute of Actuaries*, Vol. 42, p. 225.

‡ James W. Glover, "The Monetary Loss in the United States Due to Tuberculosis, Based on the Returns of the Twelfth Census of the United States," *Transactions of the Sixth International Congress on Tuberculosis*, Vol. 5, p. 55.

states were Connecticut, District of Columbia, Indiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont. The records of the registration states are used because sufficiently complete and accurate data cannot be obtained for the other states. From the data at our disposal the statement appears to be conservative that the mortality in these states due to typhoid fever is no greater than that throughout the United States. Hence the results obtained on this basis apply to the population of the United States and may be applied in the same way to the population of any state or city where similar information is desired. If in the community in question the death-rate due to typhoid fever be less than the average for this area, these results may be proportionately reduced.

Two sets of life-tables were prepared on the basis of the statistics mentioned above. The first one takes into account deaths from all causes, and so represents the vital condition of the community as it was in 1900. The second table takes into account deaths from all causes except typhoid fever, and so represents the status as it would be in a population subject to all other conditions existing in 1900, but with no deaths from typhoid. The difference between these tables is a quantity which represents the loss due to typhoid mortality, and is the foundation for other computations intended to exhibit this loss from different points of view. The loss here shown is the total loss due to typhoid mortality in a given group living under such conditions that the death-rate due to typhoid is 31.3 per 100,000 of population, the average for the registration states in 1900.

To use these results to measure the minimum community loss due to impure water, we have only to show that this loss exceeds the loss from typhoid mortality in the group above mentioned. In making this comparison it should be first noted that only the cases of typhoid fever which terminate fatally affect the results given, whereas it is estimated by Whipple* that fifteen to eighteen cases occur for every death; it follows that the monetary loss due to morbidity in typhoid, though not considered here, must be extremely great.

* George Whipple, *The Value of Pure Water*, p. 8. New York, 1907.

Second, in finding the total loss to a community which may be prevented by sanitary measures, it should be remembered that the loss from other diseases which spring from the same causes is so great that the typhoid mortality represents less than half * of the total loss due to the conditions which produce typhoid fever.

Third, the loss shown in this paper is due only to deaths which are to occur among the present male population of the community who have attained age twenty, and does not include the losses which the community will sustain due to deaths among those who shall attain this age later.

It is well known that the amount of typhoid fever in a city or village is largely dependent upon the nature of the water supply. However, since there are other means of transmission of the disease, there may be some typhoid even when the water supply is perfect. That due to causes other than impure water is called residual typhoid. Where sanitary conditions are good, an annual death-rate of about 15 per 100,000 seems to represent fairly the residual typhoid, though this is often reduced to 10, and sometimes even less. When this is compared to death-rates of 60 and 100, which were the rates in some cities in 1907 and 1908, or even with the average rate in the total registration area of this country, which was 30.3 in 1907, it becomes apparent that the removable loss is over 15 per 100,000 for the registration area, and becomes in some cities as high as 45 to 85. We believe, in view of what has been stated, that the results finally derived in this paper may be considered minimum loss-rates based on a pure water supply. That is, we may conclude that a community, which with an impure water supply has a typhoid death-rate not less than that in the registration area, is losing through the existence of typhoid in excess of the possible residual an amount as great as that represented by the loss-rates to be given in this discussion.

Although the results show a great saving, the conservative character of the method again appears when the benefit of an improved water supply in various cities is considered. A few

* Whipple, *loc. cit.*, pp. 7-12.

examples may be cited. In the city of Camden, New Jersey,* in the years 1890 to 1899, with the population ranging from 58,313 in 1890 to 75,935 in 1900, there were 297 deaths due to typhoid fever, nearly 30 a year. In 1899 the water supply of the city was changed from the Delaware river to artesian wells. In the years 1900 to 1906 the total number of deaths from typhoid was 37—a little more than five per year,—with the population increasing to 92,458 in 1907.† In Albany, New York,‡ the death-rate per 100,000 due to typhoid fever in years 1894 to 1898 was 104; in years 1900 to 1904 it was 26. Filtered water was introduced into Albany in 1899. In Ithaca, New York,§ for several years prior to 1903 the average death-rate per 100,000 from the same cause was 67.2. Since that time, when filters were introduced, the rates for the years 1904 to 1908 have been 13.7, 6.8, 0, and 6.4, respectively.

When sanitary methods have become universal, the residual typhoid should be still further decreased, since the number of loci of infection will be made smaller.

Often in cities with a good water supply, hospitals receive cases of this disease contracted elsewhere, and the mortality is charged against the city in which the hospital is located, making the residual appear higher than it really is. Since, as has been pointed out, the results obtained in this paper are conservative, even though the residual typhoid cannot be eliminated from the community, it is apparent that any lowering of this residual would increase the monetary gain to the community to figures above those shown in this paper.

The results here obtained are based upon the fundamental figures shown in Table I which are drawn from the census reports.

* Report of Chief Engineer, Camden City Water Department, 1907.

† Police Census.

‡ Whipple, *The Value of Pure Water*.

§ *Bulletin* New York State Department of Health, April, 1908. April, 1909.

TABLE I.
POPULATION AND VITAL STATISTICS, REGISTRATION STATES, TWELFTH
CENSUS.

Age Group.	Total Population June 1, 1900.	Deaths from All Causes, 1900.	Deaths from Typhoid Fever, 1900.	Deaths from All Causes Except Typhoid Fever, 1900.
Under 1	437,944	71,117	43	71,074
1- 2	394,138	16,866	52	16,814
2- 3	412,353	7,439	60	7,379
3- 4	416,695	4,691	67	4,624
4- 5	411,668	3,416	58	3,358
5- 9	1,984,846	9,242	347	8,895
10-14	1,819,115	5,423	569	4,854
15-19	1,804,950	8,746	746	8,000
20-24	1,905,779	13,013	890	12,123
25-29	1,839,826	14,533	854	13,679
30-34	1,630,050	13,991	637	13,354
35-39	1,474,697	14,331	479	13,852
40-44	1,247,880	13,736	393	13,343
45-49	1,013,403	13,416	301	13,115
50-54	872,741	14,886	216	14,670
55-59	685,469	16,101	159	15,942
60-64	562,777	17,988	124	17,864
65-69	414,450	19,568	95	19,473
70-74	288,229	20,154	64	20,090
75-79	176,031	18,477	40	18,437
80-84	87,093	14,053	20	14,033
85-89	31,558	7,418	5	7,413
90-94	7,989	2,622	1	2,621
95-99	1,576	802	0	802

The second column of Table I gives the total population of the registration states at the various ages indicated in the first column. After the fifth year, the ages are taken in groups of five. In the succeeding columns are shown the number of deaths occurring in each age group due to all causes and the number due to typhoid fever. For example, it appears that of the total population in these states on the date of the enumeration, there were 1,839,826 persons whose ages were over twenty-five and under thirty years; that during the calendar year 1900 among persons of these ages there had occurred 14,533 deaths from all causes; that 854 of these were due to typhoid fever, and 13,679 to other causes.

An understatement of deaths may be possible, but as our object is to exhibit the difference between two tables which depend upon the same returns, this understatement will affect our results only in so far as there is a difference in the percentage of understatement between the deaths due to typhoid fever and those due to other causes. The third column of Table II exhibits a mortality table with typhoid present. It was obtained by methods explained later in this paper from the second and third columns of Table I.

With typhoid mortality existing at various ages as exhibited in Table I, a group of 1,000,000 persons aged ten years will have such a life history as illustrated in the third column of Table II. Of this group there will remain living at age twenty, 959,714; at age fifty, 720,505; at age one hundred, 5. By using in the same way the data of the fifth column, Table I, we get the mortality table in the second column of Table II. It appears that the number living at each age beyond ten is greater than at the corresponding age in the former case.

In the fourth column, the difference between the two is tabulated. Thus at age twenty, there would be living 3,468 more people of the original group, if typhoid mortality were eliminated, than under existing conditions with typhoid present in the community. At age fifty-two this difference becomes 10,832, which is the maximum.

These mortality rates and the differences are shown in Table III in the more usual manner, in the form of death-rate per 1,000 per annum.

This death-rate is obtained from Table II by finding the ratio of the number dying in any year, d_x , where x denotes the age, to the number living at the beginning of the year, l_x , and multiplying this ratio by 1,000. The greatest losses are at the ages from fifteen to thirty-five. Evidently this disease rests most heavily upon those whose lives promise the greatest service and who have the greatest earning or wealth-producing power. This fact, however, will automatically carry its due weight into later results. The irregularity in the difference column at the ages two, three, and four, is due to a heavy infant typhoid mortality in one of the states. The

TABLE II.

MORTALITY TABLE WHEN TYPHOID MORTALITY IS NOT PRESENT, WHEN TYPHOID MORTALITY IS PRESENT, AND THE DIFFERENCE IN SURVIVORS AT EACH AGE. AGGREGATE POPULATION, REGISTRATION STATES.

Age.	Number Living when Typhoid Mortality		Loss in S'rviv'rs at Each Age.	Age.	Number Living when Typhoid Mortality		Loss in S'rviv'rs at Each Age.
	Is Not Present.	Is Present.			Is Not Present.	Is Present.	
0	1,302,659	1,304,688		50	731,303	720,505	10,798
1	1,107,118	1,108,732		51	720,376	709,553	10,823
2	1,060,874	1,062,281		52	708,993	698,161	10,832
3	1,042,059	1,043,288		53	697,115	686,291	10,824
4	1,030,559	1,031,608		54	684,711	673,914	10,797
5	1,022,187	1,023,083		55	671,762	661,009	10,753
6	1,016,962	1,017,725		56	658,257	647,566	10,691
7	1,012,147	1,012,754		57	644,179	633,569	10,610
8	1,007,734	1,008,164		58	629,512	619,001	10,511
9	1,003,700	1,003,928		59	614,238	603,846	10,392
10	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	60	598,343	588,089	10,254
11	996,574	996,321	253	61	581,811	571,713	10,098
12	993,349	992,816	533	62	564,629	554,708	9,921
13	990,239	989,402	837	63	546,791	537,064	9,727
14	987,147	985,984	1,163	64	528,278	518,767	9,511
15	983,972	982,460	1,512	65	509,060	499,784	9,276
16	980,601	978,723	1,878	66	489,104	480,085	9,019
17	976,923	974,662	2,261	67	468,394	459,655	8,739
18	972,822	970,167	2,655	68	446,925	438,488	8,437
19	968,236	965,177	3,059	69	424,729	416,618	8,111
20	963,182	959,714	3,468	70	401,891	394,127	7,764
21	957,728	953,846	3,882	71	378,523	371,128	7,395
22	951,937	947,640	4,297	72	354,745	347,738	7,007
23	945,879	941,166	4,713	73	330,691	324,089	6,602
24	939,612	934,486	5,126	74	306,485	300,301	6,184
25	933,172	927,638	5,534	75	282,232	276,480	5,752
26	926,580	920,647	5,933	76	258,046	252,735	5,311
27	919,862	913,542	6,320	77	234,064	229,199	4,865
28	913,049	906,355	6,694	78	210,437	206,021	4,416
29	906,159	899,107	7,052	79	187,369	183,399	3,970
30	899,191	891,799	7,392	80	165,114	161,581	3,533
31	892,136	884,424	7,712	81	143,923	140,814	3,109
32	884,982	876,970	8,012	82	123,986	121,282	2,704
33	877,715	869,426	8,289	83	105,509	103,185	2,324
34	870,325	861,781	8,544	84	88,588	86,617	1,971
35	862,811	854,032	8,779	85	73,253	71,607	1,646
36	855,177	846,181	8,996	86	59,500	58,152	1,348
37	847,421	838,225	9,196	87	47,426	46,343	1,083
38	839,539	830,156	9,383	88	37,072	36,220	852
39	831,527	821,970	9,557	89	28,326	27,672	654
40	823,381	813,661	9,720	90	21,023	20,537	486
41	815,096	805,223	9,873	91	15,011	14,664	347
42	806,666	796,647	10,019	92	10,216	9,980	236
43	798,082	787,925	10,157	93	6,562	6,410	152
44	789,325	779,039	10,286	94	3,930	3,839	91
45	780,367	769,961	10,406	95	2,159	2,109	50
46	771,174	760,658	10,516	96	1,063	1,039	24
47	761,708	751,096	10,612	97	453	442	11
48	751,932	741,241	10,691	98	157	154	3
49	741,809	731,056	10,753	99	40	39	1
				100	6	5	1

TABLE III.

COMPARATIVE TABLE SHOWING THE DEATH-RATE PER ANNUM PER 1,000 PERSONS FOR ALL AGES BETWEEN 0 AND 100; WHEN TYPHOID MORTALITY IS PRESENT, WHEN TYPHOID MORTALITY IS NOT PRESENT, AND THE DIFFERENCE. AGGREGATE POPULATION, REGISTRATION STATES.

Age.	Death-Rate Per Annum Per 1,000 When Typhoid Mortality		Difference.	Age.	Death-Rate Per Annum Per 1,000 When Typhoid Mortality		Difference.
	Is Present.	Is Not Present.			Is Present.	Is Not Present.	
0	150.194	150.109	.085	50	15.200	14.940	.260
1	41.896	41.770	.126	51	16.055	15.801	.254
2	17.879	17.735	.144	52	17.002	16.753	.249
3	11.195	11.036	.159	53	18.035	17.793	.242
4	8.264	8.124	.140	54	19.149	18.912	.237
5	5.237	5.112	.125	55	20.337	20.104	.233
6	4.884	4.735	.149	56	21.615	21.387	.228
7	4.532	4.360	.172	57	22.994	22.769	.225
8	4.202	4.003	.199	58	24.483	24.263	.220
9	3.913	3.686	.227	59	26.094	25.878	.216
10	3.679	3.426	.253	60	27.846	27.630	.216
11	3.518	3.236	.282	61	29.744	29.532	.212
12	3.439	3.131	.308	62	31.808	31.592	.216
13	3.455	3.122	.333	63	34.069	33.858	.211
14	3.574	3.216	.358	64	36.593	36.379	.214
15	3.804	3.426	.378	65	39.415	39.202	.213
16	4.149	3.751	.398	66	42.555	42.343	.212
17	4.612	4.198	.414	67	46.050	45.835	.215
18	5.143	4.714	.429	68	49.876	49.664	.212
19	5.660	5.220	.440	69	53.985	53.771	.214
20	6.114	5.662	.452	70	58.354	58.145	.209
21	6.506	6.047	.459	71	63.024	62.818	.206
22	6.832	6.364	.468	72	68.008	67.806	.202
23	7.098	6.626	.472	73	73.400	73.198	.202
24	7.328	6.854	.474	74	79.324	79.133	.191
25	7.536	7.064	.472	75	85.883	85.695	.188
26	7.717	7.250	.467	76	93.125	92.937	.188
27	7.867	7.407	.460	77	101.126	100.942	.184
28	7.997	7.546	.451	78	109.804	109.620	.184
29	8.128	7.690	.438	79	118.965	118.776	.189
30	8.270	7.846	.424	80	128.524	128.342	.182
31	8.428	8.019	.409	81	138.708	138.525	.183
32	8.602	8.211	.391	82	149.214	149.025	.189
33	8.793	8.420	.373	83	160.566	160.375	.191
34	8.992	8.634	.358	84	173.292	173.105	.187
35	9.193	8.848	.345	85	187.901	187.747	.154
36	9.402	9.069	.333	86	203.071	202.924	.147
37	9.626	9.301	.325	87	218.436	218.319	.117
38	9.861	9.543	.318	88	236.002	235.919	.083
39	10.109	9.796	.313	89	257.842	257.820	.022
40	10.370	10.062	.308	90	285.972
41	10.650	10.342	.308	91	319.422
42	10.948	10.641	.307	92	357.715
43	11.278	10.973	.305	93	401.092
44	11.653	11.349	.304	94	450.638
45	12.082	11.780	.302	95	507.349
46	12.571	12.275	.296	96	574.591
47	13.121	12.834	.287	97	651.584
48	13.740	13.463	.277	98	746.753
49	14.433	14.164	.269	99	871.795
				100	1,000.000

TABLE IV.

COMPARATIVE TABLE SHOWING AGES 0 TO 100, THE TOTAL NUMBER OF YEARS OF FUTURE LIFETIME WHICH WILL BE LIVED BY THE SURVIVORS OF 1,000,000 PERSONS AT AGE 10, AND THE LOSS IN SAME DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF TYPHOID MORTALITY. AGGREGATE POPULATION, REGISTRATION STATES.

Age.	Total Future Lifetime in Years when Typhoid Mortality		Loss in Years.	Age.	Total Future Lifetime in Years when Typhoid Mortality		Loss in Years.
	Is Not Present.	Is Present.			Is Not Present.	Is Present.	
0	60,807,037	60,279,181	527,856	50	15,065,007	14,795,789	269,218
1	59,699,919	59,170,449	529,470	51	14,344,631	14,086,236	258,395
2	58,639,045	58,108,168	530,877	52	13,635,638	13,388,075	247,563
3	57,596,986	57,064,880	532,106	53	12,938,523	12,701,784	236,739
4	56,566,427	56,033,272	533,155	54	12,253,812	12,027,870	225,942
5	55,544,240	55,010,189	534,051	55	11,582,050	11,366,861	215,189
6	54,527,278	53,992,464	534,814	56	10,923,793	10,719,295	204,498
7	53,515,131	52,979,710	535,421	57	10,279,614	10,085,726	193,888
8	52,507,397	51,971,546	535,851	58	9,650,102	9,466,725	183,377
9	51,503,697	50,967,618	536,079	59	9,035,864	8,862,879	172,985
10	50,503,697	49,967,618	536,079	60	8,437,521	8,274,790	162,731
11	49,507,123	48,971,297	535,826	61	7,855,710	7,703,077	152,633
12	48,513,774	47,978,481	535,293	62	7,291,081	7,148,369	142,712
13	47,523,535	46,989,079	534,456	63	6,744,290	6,611,305	132,985
14	46,536,388	46,003,095	533,293	64	6,216,012	6,092,538	123,474
15	45,552,416	45,020,635	531,781	65	5,706,952	5,592,754	114,198
16	44,571,375	44,041,912	529,903	66	5,217,848	5,112,669	105,179
17	43,594,892	43,067,250	527,642	67	4,749,454	4,653,014	96,440
18	42,622,070	42,097,083	524,987	68	4,302,529	4,214,526	88,003
19	41,653,834	41,131,906	521,928	69	3,877,800	3,797,908	79,892
20	40,690,652	40,172,192	518,460	70	3,475,909	3,403,781	72,128
21	39,732,924	39,218,346	514,578	71	3,097,386	3,032,653	64,733
22	38,780,987	38,270,706	510,281	72	2,742,641	2,684,915	57,726
23	37,835,108	37,329,540	505,568	73	2,411,950	2,360,826	51,124
24	36,895,496	36,395,054	500,442	74	2,105,465	2,060,525	44,940
25	35,962,324	35,467,416	494,908	75	1,823,233	1,784,045	39,188
26	35,035,744	34,546,769	488,975	76	1,565,187	1,531,310	33,877
27	34,115,882	33,633,227	482,655	77	1,331,123	1,302,111	29,012
28	33,202,833	32,726,872	475,961	78	1,120,686	1,096,090	24,596
29	32,296,674	31,827,765	468,909	79	933,317	912,691	20,626
30	31,397,483	30,935,966	461,517	80	768,203	751,110	17,093
31	30,505,347	30,051,542	453,805	81	624,280	610,296	13,984
32	29,620,365	29,174,572	445,793	82	500,294	489,014	11,280
33	28,742,650	28,305,146	437,504	83	394,785	385,829	8,956
34	27,872,325	27,443,365	428,960	84	306,197	299,212	6,985
35	27,009,514	26,589,333	420,181	85	232,944	227,605	5,339
36	26,154,337	25,743,152	411,185	86	173,444	169,453	3,991
37	25,306,916	24,904,927	401,989	87	126,018	123,110	2,908
38	24,467,877	24,074,771	392,606	88	88,946	86,890	2,056
39	23,635,850	23,252,801	383,049	89	60,620	59,218	1,402
40	22,812,469	22,439,140	373,329	90	39,597	38,681	916
41	21,997,373	21,633,917	363,456	91	24,586	24,017	569
42	21,190,707	20,837,270	353,437	92	14,370	14,037	353
43	20,392,625	20,049,345	343,280	93	7,808	7,627	181
44	19,603,300	19,270,306	332,994	94	3,878	3,788	90
45	18,822,933	18,500,345	322,588	95	1,719	1,679	40
46	18,051,759	17,739,687	312,072	96	656	640	16
47	17,290,051	16,988,591	301,460	97	203	198	5
48	16,538,119	16,247,350	290,769	98	46	44	2
49	15,796,310	15,516,294	280,016	99	6	5	1
				100			

TABLE V.

COMPARATIVE TABLE SHOWING AT AGES 0 TO 100 THE COMPLETE EXPECTATION OF LIFE WHEN TYPHOID MORTALITY IS NOT PRESENT, WHEN PRESENT, AND THE LOSS IN DAYS DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF TYPHOID MORTALITY. AGGREGATE POPULATION, REGISTRATION STATES.

Age.	Complete Expectation of Life when Typhoid Mortality		Loss in Days.	Age.	Complete Expectation of Life when Typhoid Mortality		Loss in Days.
	Is Not Present.	Is Present.			Is Not Present.	Is Present.	
0	47.179	46.702	174	50	21.100	21.035	24
1	54.424	53.868	203	51	20.413	20.352	22
2	55.774	55.201	209	52	19.732	19.676	20
3	55.772	55.197	210	53	19.060	19.008	19
4	55.389	54.816	209	54	18.396	18.348	18
5	54.839	54.269	208	55	17.741	17.696	16
6	54.118	53.552	207	56	17.095	17.053	15
7	53.373	52.813	204	57	16.458	16.419	14
8	52.604	52.051	202	58	15.829	15.794	13
9	51.814	51.268	199	59	15.210	15.177	12
10	51.004	50.468	196	60	14.601	14.571	11
11	50.177	49.652	192	61	14.002	13.974	10
12	49.339	48.825	188	62	13.413	13.387	9
13	48.492	47.992	183	63	12.834	12.810	9
14	47.642	47.157	177	64	12.267	12.244	8
15	46.794	46.324	172	65	11.711	11.690	8
16	45.954	45.499	166	66	11.168	11.150	7
17	45.125	44.687	160	67	10.640	10.623	6
18	44.313	43.892	154	68	10.127	10.111	6
19	43.520	43.116	147	69	9.630	9.616	5
20	42.746	42.359	141	70	9.149	9.136	5
21	41.987	41.616	135	71	8.683	8.671	4
22	41.239	40.885	129	72	8.231	8.221	4
23	40.500	40.163	123	73	7.794	7.784	4
24	39.767	39.447	117	74	7.370	7.362	3
25	39.038	38.734	111	75	6.960	6.953	3
26	38.312	38.024	105	76	6.566	6.559	3
27	37.588	37.316	99	77	6.187	6.181	2
28	36.865	36.608	94	78	5.826	5.820	2
29	36.141	35.903	87	79	5.481	5.477	1
30	35.417	35.189	83	80	5.153	5.149	1
31	34.694	34.479	78	81	4.838	4.834	1
32	33.970	33.767	74	82	4.535	4.532	1
33	33.247	33.056	70	83	4.242	4.239	1
34	32.525	32.345	66	84	3.956	3.954	1
35	31.804	31.634	62	85	3.680	3.679	0
36	31.084	30.923	59	86	3.415	3.414	
37	30.363	30.212	55	87	3.157	3.156	
38	29.644	29.500	53	88	2.899	2.899	
39	28.925	28.789	50	89	2.640	2.640	
40	28.206	28.078	47	90	2.384	2.383	
41	27.487	27.367	44	91	2.138	2.138	
42	26.769	26.656	41	92	1.907	1.907	
43	26.052	25.946	39	93	1.690	1.690	
44	25.336	25.236	37	94	1.487	1.487	
45	24.621	24.528	34	95	1.296	1.296	
46	23.908	23.822	31	96	1.118	1.116	
47	23.199	23.118	30	97	.948	.948	
48	22.494	22.419	27	98	.793	.786	
49	21.794	21.724	26	99	.650	.628	

effect of typhoid fever on the community is made more apparent by an inspection of Table IV.

The third column of this table gives at each age for a community with typhoid fever present the total number of years of future lifetime remaining to the survivors of an original group of 1,000,000 persons at age ten. It is obtained by summing the numbers given in the third column of Table II from the bottom up to the age required. The second column, obtained from the second column of Table II, gives similar results for a community in which there are no deaths from typhoid fever, while the difference, given in the fourth column, shows the number of years of lifetime which would be gained were there no deaths from typhoid. For example, the group of persons who have attained the age of twenty years have 40,172,192 years yet to live. If typhoid were not present the years of future lifetime would be 40,690,652. Thus they have sustained a loss of 518,460 years from a preventable disease.

It should be remembered that the heaviest loss is at the younger ages and the elimination of typhoid mortality does not mean so much a lengthening of the period of old age, as a broadening of the active or wealth-producing period. This is revealed by the fact that of the 518,460 years of future lifetime, which are lost by the group who attain to the age of twenty years, 355,729 years are lost between ages twenty and sixty.

Possibly it is of greater interest to know the average future lifetime of the individuals of this group under the two conditions—typhoid present as now, and typhoid not present—together with the difference. This is exhibited in Table V, where it is seen that at the younger ages, the loss of future lifetime averages as much as half a year for each individual of the group. This average future lifetime is known as the complete expectation of life, and is obtained from the preceding table by dividing the total future lifetime at any age by the number living at that age, and adding to the quotient one half year. This one half year is the average length of life in the year in which death occurs, on the assumption that the deaths occur uniformly throughout the year.

We shall next consider the economic loss to the community,

brought about by this disease. Before proceeding to tables setting forth such values, let us notice the figures in Table IV. Between the ages twenty and seventy, the total number of years lost by the group of age twenty is approximately 450,000. If each one in this group during the years of his age from twenty to seventy has an annual wealth-producing capacity of \$100, then the community sustains through this one group a loss of \$45,000,000 due to typhoid mortality. This assumes nearly 960,000 people in the group at age twenty. According to the census of 1900 there were over 740,000 young men at age twenty in the United States. On a group of this size the loss is about \$35,000,000. This loss is spread over fifty years and its present value is much less, but the illustration shows wherein the monetary loss to the community lies. The exact amounts of loss appear in the tables which follow.

On the basis of the mortality tables exhibited in Table II, corresponding sets of annuity tables were computed which appear in Table VI.

Table VI gives at the various ages up to sixty-nine, the value, capitalized at five per cent., of a life annuity of \$100 per annum, payable at the end of each year until age seventy; stated in other words, the amount which, improved at five per cent. interest, would pay to the one at the given age, \$100 each year of life up to the age of seventy. These values are found by well-known methods which need not be discussed here. To illustrate, if there were deposited in trust at five per cent. interest, for every person in this group whose age is twenty, \$1,578.50, and if at the end of each year \$100 were paid to all survivors, the fund would suffice to make the payments until age seventy and would then be exhausted. In the second column of Table VI we find that \$1,587.71 is the amount required to make similar payments in a group where there are no deaths from typhoid fever. Or as appears in the fourth column, \$9.21 more is required for each individual, since under these better conditions, people live longer, thus receiving additional payments from the fund. It is equally true that if the individual has a wealth-producing capacity of \$100 per annum, the efforts of those at age twenty will yield to the community an average of \$1,578.50 each, and

TABLE VI.

PRESENT OR CAPITALIZED VALUE AT EACH AGE FROM 0 TO 70 COMPUTED WITH 5 PER CENT. INTEREST, OF A WEALTH INCREMENT OR SAVING OF \$100 PER ANNUM AT THE END OF EACH YEAR UNTIL AGE 70. BASED ON AGGREGATE POPULATION, REGISTRATION STATES.

Age.	When Typhoid Mortality		Loss in Value at Each Age.	Age.	When Typhoid Mortality		Loss in Value at Each Age.
	Is Not Present.	Is Present.			Is Not Present.	Is Present.	
0	\$1,413.19	\$1,406.79	\$6.40	35	\$1,388.33	\$1,383.43	\$4.90
1	1,645.93	1,638.19	7.74	36	1,370.76	1,366.08	4.68
2	1,703.56	1,695.32	8.24	37	1,352.48	1,348.00	4.48
3	1,721.03	1,712.49	8.54	38	1,333.43	1,329.16	4.27
4	1,727.25	1,718.47	8.78	39	1,313.59	1,309.51	4.08
5	1,728.47	1,719.43	9.04	40	1,292.92	1,289.03	3.89
6	1,724.21	1,714.91	9.30	41	1,271.36	1,267.66	3.70
7	1,719.04	1,709.49	9.55	42	1,248.88	1,245.37	3.51
8	1,712.89	1,703.14	9.75	43	1,225.43	1,222.12	3.31
9	1,705.77	1,695.84	9.93	44	1,200.98	1,197.86	3.12
10	1,697.68	1,687.63	10.05	45	1,175.50	1,172.58	2.92
11	1,688.70	1,678.55	10.15	46	1,148.99	1,146.27	2.72
12	1,678.89	1,668.70	10.19	47	1,121.43	1,118.91	2.52
13	1,668.37	1,658.18	10.19	48	1,092.81	1,090.47	2.34
14	1,657.27	1,647.13	10.14	49	1,063.11	1,060.95	2.16
15	1,645.75	1,635.69	10.06	50	1,032.31	1,030.31	2.00
16	1,633.98	1,624.03	9.95	51	1,000.36	998.52	1.84
17	1,622.14	1,612.34	9.80	52	967.24	965.56	1.68
18	1,610.42	1,600.80	9.62	53	932.91	931.37	1.54
19	1,598.95	1,589.53	9.42	54	897.30	895.90	1.40
20	1,587.71	1,578.50	9.21	55	860.33	859.06	1.27
21	1,576.59	1,567.63	8.96	56	821.88	820.74	1.14
22	1,565.49	1,556.79	8.70	57	781.83	780.81	1.02
23	1,554.29	1,545.87	8.42	58	740.05	739.15	.90
24	1,542.89	1,534.77	8.12	59	696.37	695.58	.79
25	1,531.22	1,523.40	7.82	60	650.62	649.93	.69
26	1,519.22	1,511.72	7.50	61	602.56	601.97	.59
27	1,506.83	1,499.65	7.18	62	551.94	551.45	.49
28	1,493.98	1,487.12	6.86	63	498.44	498.04	.40
29	1,480.60	1,474.06	6.54	64	441.71	441.39	.32
30	1,466.68	1,460.45	6.23	65	381.30	381.06	.24
31	1,452.19	1,446.26	5.93	66	316.70	316.53	.17
32	1,437.13	1,431.48	5.65	67	247.24	247.13	.11
33	1,421.48	1,416.09	5.39	68	172.07	172.02	.05
34	1,405.22	1,400.09	5.13	69	90.12	90.10	.02

that this amount would be increased to \$1,587.71 if the mortality from typhoid were eliminated. Thus in this one group is shown a loss caused by deaths from typhoid fever which averages \$9.21 for every member of the group.

To find for any community the monetary loss due to this disease, the male population at each age is required. This number multiplied by the loss for that age as shown in the fourth column of Table VI, gives the loss on the basis of a

wealth-producing capacity of \$100 per annum from the given age to age seventy. If the wealth-producing capacity is greater, the loss is greater in the same ratio. Such an application is made in Table VII for the male population of the United States in 1900.

TABLE VII.

TABLE SHOWING THE CAPITALIZED OR PRESENT VALUE, COMPOUNDED ANNUALLY, AT 5 PER CENT. OF THE LOSS DUE TO TYPHOID FEVER ON THE MALE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES FOR EACH AGE AND CERTAIN AGE GROUPS BETWEEN THE AGES 20 AND 60 ON THE BASIS OF A WEALTH-PRODUCING CAPACITY OF \$100 PER ANNUM UNTIL AGE 70. BASED ON AGGREGATE POPULATION, REGISTRATION STATES.

Age.	Population, 1900.	Loss Rate.	Total Loss.	Age.	Population, 1900.	Loss Rate.	Total Loss.
20	743,687	9.21	\$6,849,357.27	40	643,551	3.89	\$ 2,503,413.39
21	739,047	8.96	6,621,861.12	41	393,935	3.70	1,457,559.50
22	745,491	8.70	6,485,771.70	42	451,803	3.51	1,585,828.53
23	721,847	8.42	6,077,951.74	43	390,257	3.31	1,291,750.67
24	734,301	8.12	5,962,524.12	44	389,226	3.12	1,214,385.12
20-24	3,684,373		31,997,465.95	20-44	14,799,693		92,911,492.23
25	745,136	7.82	5,826,963.52	45	476,468	2.92	1,391,286.56
26	673,529	7.50	5,051,467.50	46	349,745	2.72	951,306.40
27	666,166	7.18	4,783,071.88	47	337,687	2.52	850,971.24
28	684,941	6.86	4,698,695.26	48	349,707	2.34	818,314.38
29	599,305	6.54	3,919,454.70	49	331,628	2.16	716,316.48
20-29	6,963,450		56,277,118.81	20-49	16,644,928		97,639,687.29
30	777,266	6.23	4,842,367.18	50	454,433	2.00	908,866.00
31	502,398	5.93	2,979,220.14	51	276,208	1.84	508,222.72
32	579,386	5.65	3,273,530.90	52	310,129	1.68	521,016.72
33	543,950	5.39	2,931,890.50	53	264,837	1.54	407,848.98
34	528,037	5.13	2,708,829.81	54	263,666	1.40	369,132.40
20-34	9,894,487		73,012,957.34	20-54	18,214,201		100,354,774.11
35	608,152	4.90	2,979,944.80	55	297,606	1.27	377,959.62
36	492,186	4.68	2,303,430.48	56	244,362	1.14	278,572.68
37	475,287	4.48	2,129,285.76	57	207,971	1.02	212,130.42
38	551,768	4.27	2,356,049.36	58	202,677	.90	182,409.30
39	509,041	4.08	2,076,887.28	59	195,194	.79	154,203.26
				60	275,887	.69	190,362.03
20-39	12,530,921		84,858,555.02	20-60	19,637,898		101,750,411.42

The total loss, \$101,750,411, seems very great, but it must be remembered that even in obtaining this figure, no account is taken of males younger than twenty or older than sixty, or of females; that in all these classes are large numbers of wealth-producing individuals; and further that the average wealth-producing capacity of those considered is several times \$100 per annum. We also repeat that these computa-

tions are based upon the reports from registration states, where in many sections the mortality from typhoid has already been reduced to near the minimum residual, very greatly decreasing the average loss for the whole region.

The final sum, \$101,750,411, which is the present value of the total loss may be reduced to annual loss by determining the annual payment on a fifty-year annuity whose present value at five per cent. is equal to the total loss. The resulting annual loss is \$5,573,555. At the end of fifty years those now twenty will have attained to the age of seventy and an entirely new group will have appeared, hence the choice of fifty years as the basis for the computation of annual loss. The annual loss here determined is derived from the total loss sustained by a specified group, and no account is taken of persons below age twenty. We note, however, that in one year the whole group of those aged nineteen will have entered the age period with which we are dealing, and each succeeding year other groups enter, whose losses during the fifty years will swell the annual loss.

In Table VIII is exhibited the total and annual loss for the United States, based upon the population in 1900, also upon the population in 1910, as reported by the Census Bureau.

TABLE VIII.

MINIMUM ANNUAL AND TOTAL LOSSES FROM TYPHOID FEVER IN THE UNITED STATES CAPITALIZED AT 5 PER CENT.

Year.	*Population.	Capitalized Total Loss.	Capitalized Annual Loss.
1900.....	76,212,168	\$101,750,411.42	\$5,573,555.37
1910.....	92,228,531	123,133,762.24	6,744,865.51

* Continental United States, Alaska and Hawaii, U. S. Census.

It seems desirable to combine the results given in this paper into a formula for estimating the capitalized value of the removable loss from impure water for a community whose population and typhoid death-rate per 100,000 are known. The following formula is based upon the assumption already made, that the removable loss in a community due to impure water is equal to the total loss

due to typhoid mortality in the fixed group heretofore considered; and upon the further assumption that the total community loss due to impure water is proportional to the removable typhoid death-rate per 100,000. These assumptions while only approximately correct, may be considered, because of the conservative character of the original results, to give minimum losses for the area in question.

The formula for total loss is:

$$\text{Loss} = \frac{(t-r)}{31.3-15} \cdot \frac{101750411.42}{76212168} \cdot p.$$

In this formula t is the typhoid death-rate per 100,000 for the community, r is the estimated residual typhoid death-rate per 100,000, and p is the total population.

This formula simplifies to:

$$\text{Loss} = .0819 (t-r) p.$$

The annual loss may be obtained by dividing the total loss by 18.256.

Table IX gives the total and annual community losses for certain cities as estimated by means of this formula, the typhoid residual r being assumed to be 15 per cent.

TABLE IX.

ESTIMATED TOTAL AND ANNUAL LOSSES DUE TO IMPURE WATER IN CERTAIN CITIES OF THE UNITED STATES CAPITALIZED AT 5 PER CENT.

City.	Population in 1910.	*Death Rate per 100,000	Estimated Pres- ent Value of the Total Loss.	Estimated Annual Loss.
Chicago, Ill.	2,185,283	25.3	\$1,843,439.18	\$100,977.58
Cincinnati, Ohio.	363,591	50.7	1,063,078.27	58,231.96
Detroit, Mich.	465,766	20.9	225,062.79	12,328.20
Grand Rapids, Mich.	112,571	40.4	234,176.95	12,827.45
Indianapolis, Ind.	233,650	39.3	465,003.22	25,471.36
Minneapolis, Minn.	301,408	34.9	491,237.77	26,908.40
Philadelphia, Pa.	1,549,008	53.6	4,896,940.95	268,238.44
Pittsburg, Pa.	533,905	116.9	4,455,762.91	244,072.15

* Engineering News, Vol. 63, No. 16, April 21, 1910, p. 453.

In calculating the total and annual capitalized losses the populations are those taken from the official reports of the last census, 1910, and the typhoid death-rate assumed is that given in *Engineering News* as the average rate for the period of years 1898–1908.

Finally we wish to call attention again to the fact that a wealth-producing capacity of \$100 per annum for each individual is taken simply as a unit upon which to base the tables, that no assumption is made concerning the *actual* average wealth-producing capacity. The contention of this paper is that the results here given are conservative estimates upon the unit chosen. To find the actual losses these results should be multiplied by the average annual wealth-producing capacity per individual measured in units of \$100. The determination of the latter quantity is an economic problem not considered here.

II.

The remainder of this paper is a description of the processes by which the life tables are computed. The formulæ used, with the exception of those dealing with the osculatory differences, for the derivation of which the reader is referred to King,* are well known formulæ of interpolation and no reference to their source of derivation need be given.

The figures in Table I are taken directly from the reports of the census, except that the number of deaths due to typhoid fever is given in those reports only in age groups of ten years each. To obtain the number at intervals of five years the following central difference formula of interpolation is used:

$$u_{0.5} = \frac{1}{2}(u_0 + u_1) - \frac{1}{16}\delta^2(u_0 + u_1) + \frac{3}{256}\delta^4(u_0 + u_1). \dagger \quad (1)$$

This formula enables us to make the interpolation for ages 25, 35, 75. To get the number at age eighty-five the ordinary difference formula is used in the form

$$u_{3.5} = u_0 + 3.5 \Delta u_0 + 4.375 \Delta^2 u_0 + 2.1875 \Delta^3 u_0 + 0.2734375 \Delta^4 u_0 \quad (2)$$

* *Loc. cit.*, p. 242.

† Bessel's formula: Given in a paper by James Buchanan: "Osculatory Interpolation by Central Differences, with an Application to Life Table Construction," *Journal of the Institute of Actuaries*, Vol. 42, p. 394.

with u_0 corresponding to age fifty. Again the same formula in the form

$$u_{2.5} = u_0 + 2.5 \Delta u_0 + 1.875 \Delta^2 u_0 + 0.3125 \Delta^3 u_0 - 0.0390625 \Delta^4 u_0, \quad (3)$$

is used to obtain the number at age fifteen by reversing the table of differences and letting u_0 correspond to age forty. The number at age five is given in the census report.

The two mortality tables are constructed by the same methods, one based upon the numbers in the second and third columns of Table I, and the other upon the numbers in the second and fifth columns. They represent respectively the actual mortality and the mortality upon the supposition that no deaths occur due to typhoid fever. The following are the steps in the construction.

The sum of the numbers in the second column of Table I from the end of the column up to any given age is the total number living at that age and over, and is denoted by the symbol T_x . Thus T_{35} is 6,864,236. The number living at the given age x is found by subtracting the number living at age $x+1$ and over from the number living at age x and over. This is indicated by the symbol L_x , and we have $L_x = T_x - T_{x+1}$. We have T_x at the various five-year intervals $T_5, T_{10}, \dots, T_{90}$. To get L_x at these ages we need $T_6, T_{11}, \dots, T_{91}$.

These are obtained by interpolation from the known values of T by employing the following central difference formula:

$$u_x = u_{-2} + (2+x) \Delta u_{-2} + \frac{(2+x)(1+x)}{2!} \Delta^2 u_{-2} + \frac{(2+x)(1+x)x}{3!} \Delta^3 u_{-2} + \frac{(2+x)(1+x)x(x-1)}{4!} \Delta^4 u_{-2}. \quad (4)$$

Let $x=0.2$ and let u_0 be successively $T_{15}, T_{20}, \dots, T_{90}$, thus getting values of $T_{16}, T_{21}, \dots, T_{91}$. By using the same formula adapted to two differences in the forms

$$u_x = u_{-1} + (1+x) \Delta u_{-1} + \frac{(1+x)x}{2!} \Delta^2 u_{-1} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{and } u_x = u_0 + x \Delta u_0 + \frac{x(x-1)}{2!} \Delta^2 u_0, \quad (6)$$

we get T_{11} and T_6 thus:

$$T_{11} = T_5 + 1.2 \Delta T_5 + .12 \Delta^2 T_5, \quad (7)$$

$$T_6 = T_5 + .2 \Delta T_5 - .08 \Delta^2 T_5. \quad (8)$$

Then by subtraction we have directly the values of L_x for $x = 5, 10, \dots, 90$.

If we sum the third column of Table I from the bottom upward, we obtain for each age a quantity l_x which corresponds to the T_x obtained from the second column. The same methods and formulæ used above in getting T_{x+1} and L_x are employed here to find l_{x+1} and a new column d_x . The number l_x is the sum of all those who die at age x and above, hence $l_x - l_{x+1}$ is the number who die in the year of life x and is denoted by the symbol d_x . Having for age x the number living l_x , and the number of deaths d_x , the probability of death in the year of life x , denoted by q_x , follows at once by applying the formula:

$$q_x = \frac{d_x}{L_x + 0.5d_x}. \quad (9)$$

We have now a column q_x which represents the original data at the ages 5, 10, 15, ..., 85. The osculatory method is then employed to complete this table, that is, to find the values of q_x at the intervening ages. Let $\Delta, \Delta^2, \dots, \Delta^5$ denote the quinquennial differences of the column q_x and let $\delta, \delta^2, \dots, \delta^5$ denote the subdivided osculatory differences of q_x . The formulæ connecting quinquennial and subdivided osculatory differences are:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta u_{10} &= .2 \Delta u_0 + .32 \Delta^2 u_0 + .088 \Delta^3 u_0 - .0176 \Delta^4 u_0 + .0016 \Delta^5 u_0 \\ \delta^2 u_{10} &= .04 \Delta^2 u_0 + .048 \Delta^3 u_0 + .0016 \Delta^4 u_0 + .0048 \Delta^5 u_0 \\ \delta^3 u_{10} &= .008 \Delta^3 u_0 + .0064 \Delta^4 u_0 - .0048 \Delta^5 u_0 \\ \delta^4 u_{10} &= .0016 \Delta^4 u_0 - .0032 \Delta^5 u_0 \\ \delta^5 u_{10} &= .008 \Delta^5 u_0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

These yield values of $\delta, \delta^2, \delta^3, \delta^4, \delta^5$ at each of the ages 15, 20, 25, ..., 60 and the values of q_x at every age from fifteen to seventy-five follow at once. In deriving values

of q_x between the ages five and fifteen we reverse the table of δ 's and using q_{15} , q_{16} , q_{17} , we find δq_{17} and $\delta^2 q_{17}$, then

$$\delta^3 q_{17} = \frac{1}{1 \cdot 9 \cdot 2 \cdot 5} (99q_{10} - 7q_5 - 92q_{17} - 609\delta q_{17} - 1617\delta^2 q_{17}), \quad (11)$$

$$\delta^4 q_{17} = \frac{1}{3 \cdot 5} (q_{10} - q_{17} - 7\delta q_{17} - 21\delta^2 q_{17} - 35\delta^3 q_{17}). \quad (12)$$

Using $\delta^4 q_{17}$ as constant, q_{14} , q_{13} , etc., are obtained, reproducing correctly q_{10} and q_5 . The census reports give numbers at each year of age for ages younger than five, so that q_0 , q_1 , q_2 , q_3 and q_4 are at hand without interpolation.

It should be noted here that the values of q_x at ages younger than seventy-five represent the original data accurately at ages that are multiples of five, and that in forming the curve having as one axis, age, and for the other, probability of dying, the various interpolation curves are so joined that they have a common slope and radius of curvature at these points of junction.

The annuity values from which the financial loss due to typhoid fever is derived, being dependent only upon the value of q_x at ages younger than seventy, cannot be vitiated by what may appear to be arbitrary methods of graduation used at the higher ages. The data obtained from the census concerning ages of death beyond ninety were only sufficient to give us d_{85} . To obtain d_{90} , l_{91} is computed by applying the ordinary interpolation formula to the l_x column in the form

$$l_{91} = l_{85} + 1.2 \Delta l_{85} + .12 \Delta^2 l_{85}. \quad (13)$$

Having l_{91} , d_{90} and q_{90} follow directly. At this point the attempt was made to bring the table to an end by assuming q_{105} to be unity. After some experimenting and study of the data, it was decided to bring the table to an end at age 100. Consequently q_{100} is given the value unity. We have q_{90} and therefore $\Delta^3 q_{75}$. We use the ordinary fifth difference interpolation formula, assume the fifth difference to be zero, q_{100} to be unity, and solve for $\Delta^4 q_{75}$.

$$\Delta^4 q_{75} = \frac{1}{5} (q_{100} - q_{75} - 5 \Delta q_{75} - 10 \Delta^2 q_{75} - 10 \Delta^3 q_{75}). \quad (14)$$

Using this fourth difference, we derive at once a value of

q_{95} . The corresponding subdivided osculatory differences are computed and $q_{76}, q_{77}, \dots, q_{80}$ are produced. To get $q_{81}, q_{82}, \dots, q_{90}$ the formulæ for subdivided osculatory third differences apply. They are:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta u_5 &= .2 \Delta u_0 + .12 \Delta^2 u_0 - .016 \Delta^3 u_0 \\ \delta^2 u_5 &= .04 \Delta^2 u_0 - .016 \Delta^3 u_0 \\ \delta^3 u_5 &= .024 \Delta^3 u_0\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

The values of q_x from age ninety to age one hundred are obtained by the same method as that employed at the ages between five and fifteen, finding $\delta^3 q_{88}$ and $\delta^4 q_{88}$. The table q_x having been completed, the life-table l_x is built upon a radix of one million at age ten, the equation $l_{x+1} = l_x - l_x \cdot q_x$ being used as well adapted to a continuous process on a computing machine. The numbers in the l_x column are made integral, the d_x column derived from them and a new q_x formed from l_x and d_x in the usual manner. The l_x column is the one exhibited in Table II. From the l_x and d_x columns the various commutation columns are constructed and the values of the temporary life annuities immediate, last payment at age seventy, set forth in Table VI, are derived by means of the formula:

$$a_{x:\overline{70-x}|} = \frac{N_x - N_{70}}{D_x}.\tag{16}$$

TABLE XIII.
Commutation Columns, Typhoid Mortality Included, 5 per cent.

X	D.	N.	M.
0	1304688.000	19740945.249	364642.986
1	1055935.235	18436257.249	178018.225
2	963520.1830	17380322.014	135885.798
3	901231.4020	16416801.831	119478.931
4	848706.4497	15515570.429	109869.766
5	801612.3046	14666863.979	103190.205
6	759442.0680	13865251.675	99191.9833
7	719745.3597	13105809.607	95659.1864
8	682365.0765	12388064.247	92552.4938
9	647140.9438	11703699.170	89821.9304
10	613913.2500	11056558.226	87410.4792
11	582528.2549	10442644.976	85259.4440
12	552837.1000	9860116.7216	83307.7889
13	524701.0043	9307279.6216	81497.2118
14	497988.9176	8782578.6173	79770.8895
15	472580.0601	8284589.6997	78075.7853
16	448364.2812	7812009.6396	76363.8225
17	425241.8045	7363645.3584	74592.0217
18	403124.4224	6938403.5540	72724.2564
19	381953.3163	6535279.1315	70749.5439
20	361706.1104	6153325.8152	68690.5967
21	342375.7343	5791619.7048	66584.3229
22	323950.6108	5449243.9705	64462.8026
23	306416.6475	5125293.3597	62355.0539
24	289754.1209	4818876.7121	60283.8003
25	273934.0710	4529122.5912	58261.5669
26	258923.4444	4255188.5202	56295.4130
27	244690.6899	3996265.0859	54392.3507
28	231205.3961	3751574.3959	52558.9927
29	218434.7369	3520368.9998	50798.1178
30	206342.1785	3301934.2629	49107.2114
31	194891.2039	3095592.0844	47482.0603
32	184046.3351	2900700.8805	45917.7178
33	173774.3830	2716654.5454	44409.8794
34	164044.1499	2542880.1624	42954.6169
35	154827.7089	2378836.0125	41549.7985
36	146099.4199	2224008.3036	40194.2652
37	137834.0560	2077908.8837	38886.0153
38	130006.8792	1940074.8277	37622.3666
39	122595.1569	1810067.9485	36401.4414
40	115577.0300	1687472.7916	35221.1838
41	108931.8558	1571895.7616	34079.6777
42	102639.6968	1462963.9058	32974.7491
43	96681.86437	1360324.2090	31904.5213
44	91039.53366	1263642.3446	30866.0915
45	85693.97214	1172602.8110	29855.7418
46	80627.22262	1086908.8389	28869.6547
47	75822.54783	1006281.6162	27904.3783
48	71264.47376	930459.06840	26956.8979
49	66938.34579	859194.59464	26024.3194

50	62830.72348	792256.24885	25104.2329
51	58929.20683	729425.52537	24194.6565
52	55221.98681	670496.31854	23293.5908
53	51698.20495	615274.33173	22399.4254
54	48348.42341	563576.12678	21511.4658
55	45164.36534	515227.70338	20629.7136
56	42138.90690	470063.33804	19754.9405
57	39264.84322	427924.43114	18887.4895
58	36535.24031	388659.58792	18027.6438
59	33943.57251	352124.34760	17175.7464
60	31483.65042	318180.77510	16332.1872
61	29149.47908	286697.12468	15497.2370
62	26935.67748	257547.64560	14671.5031
63	24837.06174	230611.96812	13855.6537
64	22848.47518	205774.90638	13049.6691
65	20964.17956	182926.43120	12253.3991
66	19178.93007	161962.25164	11466.4431
67	17488.35589	142783.32157	10689.1491
68	15888.59076	125294.96568	9922.16387
69	14377.27054	109416.37492	9167.44154
70	12953.44498	95029.104386	8428.24851
71	11616.71835	82075.659402	7708.35428
72	10366.27147	70458.941050	7011.08481
73	9201.220522	60092.669582	6339.66535
74	8119.862763	50891.449060	5696.45971
75	7119.774720	42771.586297	5083.03323
76	6198.386531	35651.811577	4500.68141
77	5353.485847	29453.425046	3950.94235
78	4582.961868	24099.939199	3435.34495
79	3885.458202	19516.977332	2956.07933
80	3260.216605	15631.519130	2515.85798
81	2705.905762	12371.302524	2116.79578
82	2219.595223	9665.3967617	1759.33850
83	1798.476372	7445.8015387	1443.91449
84	1437.811884	5647.3251672	1168.89149
85	1132.048668	4209.5132831	931.595544
86	875.5580282	3077.4646148	729.012086
87	664.5308142	2201.9065866	559.678111
88	494.6409654	1537.3757724	421.432653
89	359.9092267	1042.7348070	310.255143
90	254.3899707	682.82558023	221.874540
91	172.9920878	428.43560956	152.590406
92	112.1282940	255.44352172	99.9642613
93	68.58879480	143.31522772	61.7642617
94	39.12225086	74.72643292	35.5638691
95	20.46883623	35.60418206	18.7734060
96	9.60379909	15.13534583	8.88306431
97	3.89099230	5.53154674	3.62758376
98	1.29112830	1.64055444	1.21300616
9931140369	.34942614	.29476451
10003802245	.03802245	.03621185

TABLE XIV.
Commutation Column, Typhoid Mortality Excluded, 5 per cent.

X	D.	N.	M.
0	1302659.000	19795487.065	360016.757
1	1054398.093	1849282.8065	17378.234
2	962243.9926	17438429.972	131842.562
3	900169.7456	16476185.980	115589.458
4	847843.4348	15576016.234	106128.379
5	800910.2651	14728172.799	99568.6984
6	758872.7056	13927262.534	95669.7229
7	719313.9761	13168389.829	92247.7923
8	682074.0356	12449075.852	89260.9002
9	646993.9730	11767001.817	86660.5478
10	613913.2500	11120007.844	84389.0688
11	582676.1788	10506094.594	82385.9575
12	553133.8943	9923418.4151	80590.1569
13	525144.8833	9370284.5207	78940.8575
14	498576.3116	8845139.6374	77379.1874
15	473307.3579	8346563.3258	75851.9581
16	449224.6146	7873255.9679	74307.6641
17	426228.2713	7424031.3532	72702.9649
18	404227.6298	6997803.0820	70998.9147
19	383163.8665	6593575.4522	69184.0788
20	363013.1631	6240411.5857	67279.2794
21	343769.1486	5847398.4226	65321.6077
22	325419.5397	5503629.2740	63341.9551
23	307951.0651	5178209.7343	61369.6441
24	291343.5291	4870258.6692	59426.4485
25	275568.2765	4578915.1401	57524.6987
26	260592.0356	4303346.8636	55670.7598
27	246383.4913	4042754.8280	53871.3548
28	232912.9929	3796371.3367	52133.4018
29	220147.9944	3563458.3438	50459.5017
30	208052.5206	3343310.3494	48847.2636
31	196590.6161	3135257.8288	47292.6276
32	185727.7829	2938667.2126	45791.2450
33	175431.1264	2752939.4298	44338.7712
34	165670.5413	2577508.3033	42932.0493
35	156419.2564	2411837.7620	41569.8340
36	147652.6459	2255418.5056	40251.7673
37	139346.2060	2107765.8597	38976.4046
38	131476.3073	1968419.6537	37742.0412
39	124020.5641	1836943.3464	36547.0676
40	116957.7140	1712922.7823	35389.9635
41	110267.4910	1595965.0683	34269.1554
42	103930.5409	1485697.5772	33183.0375
43	97928.17296	1381767.0363	32129.7429
44	92241.56930	1283838.8634	31106.3882
45	86852.12362	1191597.2941	30109.3941
46	81741.88370	1104745.1705	29134.9666
47	76893.82085	1023003.2868	28179.3814
48	72292.32906	946109.46590	27239.4961
49	67922.93251	873817.13685	26312.5947

50	63772.34936	805894.20433	25396.4323
51	59828.06964	742121.85497	24488.9321
52	56078.75848	682293.78533	23588.5784
53	52513.57535	626215.02686	22693.8103
54	49123.02955	573701.45151	21803.9136
55	45899.07912	524578.42195	20919.1550
56	42834.59978	478679.34283	20040.3474
57	39922.38800	435844.74306	19167.8766
58	37155.63012	395922.35506	18302.1876
59	34527.73073	358766.72494	17443.6009
60	32032.60364	324238.99421	16692.6538
61	29664.33783	292206.39057	15749.7498
62	27417.42437	262542.05274	14915.4211
63	25286.89659	235124.62837	14090.4849
64	23267.37586	209837.73178	13275.1019
65	21353.27511	186570.35593	12468.9744
66	19539.23037	165217.08082	11671.7516
67	17820.84600	145677.85045	10883.8044
68	16194.30503	127857.00445	10105.8763
69	14657.17693	111662.69042	9339.90388
70	13208.61793	97005.522488	8589.30629
71	11848.19006	83796.904561	7857.86195
72	10575.15420	71948.714500	7149.02598
73	9388.658102	61373.560298	6466.10814
74	8287.072434	51984.902196	5811.60017
75	7267.897348	43697.829763	5187.04904
76	6328.640881	36429.932415	4593.88159
77	5467.119452	30101.292334	4033.72514
78	4681.196317	24634.172882	3508.13969
79	3969.565908	19952.976564	3019.42519
80	3331.501876	15983.410657	2570.38650
81	2765.648835	12651.908781	2163.17664
82	2269.081424	9886.2509463	1798.30741
83	1838.982832	7617.1785218	1476.26014
84	1470.529794	5778.1956901	1195.37746
85	1158.070595	4307.6658959	952.943534
86	895.8540150	3149.5953009	745.873278
87	680.0603844	2253.7412859	572.739362
88	506.2763630	1573.6809015	431.339236
89	368.4153208	1067.4045384	317.586487
90	260.4100089	698.98921765	227.124883
91	177.0856677	438.57920872	156.200958
92	114.7798248	261.49354106	102.327695
93	70.21523736	146.71371626	63.228715
94	40.04960820	76.49847890	36.4068438
95	20.95410973	36.44887070	19.2184564
96	9.82563853	15.49476097	9.08778865
97	3.98782695	5.66912244	3.71786715
98	1.31628015	1.68129549	1.23621795
9931938840	.36501534	.30200688
10004562694	.04562694	.04345422